UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
Robert J. Monaco	X	
Plaintiff(s),	JOINT PROPOSE CIVIL CASE MANAGEMI	
-against-	25 Civ. 01387 (NCM	(VMS)
City University of New York and Scott Cally	25 CIV. 01507 (INCIVI	) ( v 1v15)
Defendant(s).	·-	
The parties/counsel who conferred in drafting	11	nent plan:
For Plaintiff(s): Scott Simpson and Raya Sakso	ouk	
For Defendant(s): Hayley Bronner		
A. Do the parties request referral to the Court's ADR	program? Yes: No: X	
B. Do the parties consent to proceed before a Magistr Yes:  If yes, fill out the AO 85 Notice, Co Magistrate Judge Form and file it of forms/notice-consent-and-reference  No:  X If no, do not indicate which party do  C. The parties may wish to engage in settlement discuss of the parties of	onsent and Reference of a Civil Act n ECF. <a href="https://www.uscourts.gov/fe-civil-action-magistrate-judge">https://www.uscourts.gov/fe-civil-action-magistrate-judge</a> . eclines consent. ussions.	tion to a
If so, Plaintiff(s) will serve demand by 6/23/25		
D. Defendant(s) will answer or otherwise respond to a The parties will serve Rule 26(a)(1) initial disclosures		Defendants' position is that
The parties will serve initial document requests and int	position is the	poses 7/3/25. Defendants' hat this is premature for stated in footnote 1.
Any joinder and/or amendments of the pleadings must		said in roomote 1.
The parties will complete fact discovery by Plaintiff properties premature for the parties will complete fact discovery by Plaintiff properties are properties.	oses 10/10/25. Defendants' position is that this for the reasons stated in footnote 1.	
If the parties perform expert discovery, they will serve initial expert reports by Plaintiff proposes; and rebuttal exp All discovery, including expert depositions, will be conwill file a joint letter certifying the close of all discovery	pert reports on or before Plaintiff propo mpleted by Plaintiff proposes, and the p	ses 11/14/25 these dates are
Other considerations the parties wish to bring to the Coelectronic discovery or confidentiality order: The parties was a parties of the parti		

1 Defendants note that on June 2, 2025, Defendants filed a letter requesting a pre-motion conference to seek permission to file a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss. See Dkt. No. 13. Defendants also requested that the Court stay discovery pending Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss and stay the action pending arbitration. Therefore, Defendants believe the deadlines proposed by Plaintiff herein are premature. Defendants respectfully request the Court defer ruling on this CMP until after a decision on their anticipated motions are rendered. Plaintiff intends to oppose the stay of discovery, but agrees the Court should defer its ruling on the schedule pending the Court's ruling on Defendants' request for a stay of discovery (but not the motion to dismiss).

the Court's attention.